

From: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Potential Health Effects of Contaminants in the IWCS (UNCLASSIFIED)
Date: Monday, February 28, 2011 10:28:20 AM

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Thank you [REDACTED] I have forwarded your input to the team.
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

[REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 10:10 PM
To: Fusrap, LRB
Subject: Potential Health Effects of Contaminants in the IWCS

[REDACTED]
I apologize for the lateness of my response regarding this matter in my email file. I remain totally in agreement with your objective and approach. However, from past experience, I have some thoughts about the use of one particular word in your "Feasibility Study etc."

Subject: Comments on:

Niagara Falls Storage Site

Feasibility Study Technical Memorandum Development; January 2011

1) Potential Health Effects of Contaminants at the Interim Waste Containment Structure Technical Memorandum.

a) Title should read "Possible (, or hypothetical,) Health Effects of Contaminants at the Interim Waste Containment Structure Technical Memorandum" rather than "Potential".

b) The word "potential" implies a rational certainty that there will be (negative) health effects due to this survey. It allows members of an opposition group to claim that the site and it's survey is known to have the potential to negatively affect the surrounding public from "exposure". I suggest that you do not use this term.

2) Purpose of this Fact Sheet

a) Starting with "associated with potential etc." I again suggest using "possible" rather than "potential".

b) Again, starting with "the health effects caused by potential etc." Once more I suggest that you use a different word. I again suggest "possible".

3) Purpose and Objectives of the Technical Memorandum

a) Again I suggest replacing the word "potential" with the word "possible".

Sincerely yours,

[REDACTED]

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE